**Borrelia** and **Chlamydia** Can Form Mixed Biofilms in Infected Human Skin Tissues
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Our research group has recently shown that Borrelia burgdorferi, the Lyme disease bacterium, is capable of forming biofilms in Borrelia-infected human skin lesions called Borrelia lymphocytoma (BL). Biofilm structures often contain multiple organisms in a symbiotic relationship, with the goal of providing shelter from environmental stressors such as antimicrobial agents. Because multiple co-infections are common in Lyme disease, the main questions of this study were whether BL tissues contained other pathogenic species and/or whether there is any co-existence with Borrelia biofilms. Recent reports suggested Chlamydia-like organisms in ticks and Borrelia-infected human skin tissues; therefore, Chlamydia-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses were performed in Borrelia-positive BL tissues. Analyses of the sequence of the positive PCR bands revealed that Chlamydia spp. DNAs are indeed present in these tissues, and their sequences have the best identity match to Chlamydia pneumoniae and Chlamydia trachomatis. Fluorescent immunohistochemical and in situ hybridization methods demonstrated the presence of Chlamydia antigen and DNA in 84% of Borrelia biofilms. Confocal microscopy revealed that Chlamydia locates in the center of Borrelia biofilms, and together, they form a well-organized mixed pathogenic structure. In summary, our study is the first to show Borrelia-Chlamydia mixed biofilms in infected human skin tissues, which raises the questions of whether these human pathogens have developed a symbiotic relationship for their mutual survival.
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**Introduction**

Lyme disease is a tick-borne illness that is caused by Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto and sensu lato in the United States and Europe, respectively [1–5]. Lyme disease is estimated to affect 300,000 people a year in the United States and 65,000 people a year in Europe [6]. The most common skin manifestation is a red rash that is observed after a tick bite called erythema migrans (EM) [7, 8]. The other well-studied dermatological conditions of Lyme disease are Borrelia lymphocytoma (BL) that appears in the early phase of Borrelia infection and acrodermatitis chronica atrophicans (ACA), which is the late onset cutaneous manifestation [9–12]. However, Lyme disease is a multi-systemic disease with manifestations that may also include other several chronic conditions such as Lyme carditis and neuroborreliosis [13–18].

Recently, our research group provided evidence for both the B. burgdorferi sensu stricto and the sensu lato groups of B. burgdorferi to exist in biofilm form in vitro and in vivo in Borrelia lymphocytoma [19–21]. Like other bacterial biofilms, Borrelia biofilms have shown increased resistance towards the standard antibiotics that are used to treat Lyme disease [22]. Biofilms are an aggregation of planktonic bacteria that attach on biotic and abiotic surfaces to form a three-dimensional architecture to withstand various environmental stressors [23]. The presence of a protective surface matrix called extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) and persister cells with low metabolic activity helps the survival of community inside the biofilm [24–30]. Clinically, biofilm infections represent a very significant problem due to the extraordinary resistance to both antimicrobial drugs, as well as host immune systems, which eventually could lead to persistent human infections [29, 31–33]. According to the National Institute of Health (NIH), 80% of all chronic infections have been linked to pathogenic biofilms [33, 34]. Several biofilm-related chronic infections have been reported such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa in cystic fibrosis [35], Escherichia coli in urinary tract infections, Staphylococcus aureus in osteomyelitis and endocarditis, and Streptococcus pneumoniae in pulmonary infections [33, 36–38]. Highly diverse in nature, biofilms have been reported to exist in a polymicrobial fashion, where several bacterial species along with fungi, yeast, and viruses reside in a community [39, 40]. The microbial community communicates through quorum sensing, co-operates with each other by developing a symbiotic relationship, protects and fights against antimicrobial treatments [39, 40]. The presence of mixed biofilms has been suggested in oral plaques, gastrointestinal tract, chronic wounds, and lungs, enhancing biofilm formation and increasing the resistance against stress and the host immune responses [41–44].

In Lyme disease, co-infections are common because ticks are well known to carry and transmit several human pathogenic microbes along Borrelia such as Bartonella, Ehrlichia, Babesia, Anaplasma, and even Mycoplasma species [45–49]. Recently, the presence of Chlamydia-like organisms was also reported by several studies in a significant fraction of Ixodes ricinus ticks [50–52]. Furthermore, the presence of Chlamydia DNAs in 68% of the skin biopsies obtained from patients with a suspected tick bite history was found [52]. The follow up...
study from the same research group reported that all *Borrelia*
positive granuloma annulare skin conditions were also positive
for *Chlamydia* related bacteria [53]. Furthermore, a recent
Australian study also confirmed that DNA from ticks contains
DNA belonging to the chlamydial order genotype [54] sug-
gest that *Chlamydia* can be a very frequent co-infection in*Borrelia* infected tissues.

The bacterial order *Chlamydiaceae* includes intracellular
Gram-negative bacteria that follow a biphasic development cy-
cle and are dependent on the host organism for ATP synthesis
[55]. The bacteria primarily exist as elementary bodies capable
of invading the host cell [56]. Following infection in the host
cell, they fuse with the membrane-bound cytoplasmic vacuole,
termed inclusion bodies, where they are in a protective envi-
ronment [56, 57].

There are 3 main *Chlamydia* pathogens responsible for
causing human infections. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is a re-
spiratory pathogen whose infection leads to extra-pulmonary
symptoms such as myocarditis, atherosclerosis, reactive arthri-
tis, and nervous system disorders [58, 59]. *Chlamydia trach-
matis* is a bacterium responsible for causing sexually
transmitted diseases such as urethritis, cervicitis, and other
infections, such as Reiter’s syndrome, reactive arthritis,
ocular infections, atypical pneumoniae, or pelvic inflammatory
diseases [60]. *Chlamydia psittaci* is a pathogen that affects
avians and is known to cause the human infection psittacosis
leading to severe pneumonia [61]. Erythema nodosum, an in-
flamed skin condition with painful, red deep-seated nodules
on lower legs, is also observed after chlamydial infection [62].
Several chlamydial infections have similar symptoms, as ob-
served in Lyme patients such as arthritis, atherosclerosis, neu-
ro-cognitive symptoms, and skin rashes [45, 63].

*Chlamydia*-related infections have been reported to be de-
veloping an emerging resistance to antibiotics in vitro and in
clinical samples [64, 65]. There is no direct evidence for the
existence of *Chlamydia* in biofilm form; however, studies
have reported the existence of chlamydia aggregates due to
stressful conditions such as calcium imbalance [66, 67].

Based on these findings, the goal of this study was to inves-
tigate the potential presence of *Chlamydia* spp. in BL skin bi-
opsies and their potential relationship to *Borrelia* biofilms
reported previously in BL skin biopsies.

### Materials and Methods

#### Human Skin Sections.

From the files of our dermatohistopathologic laboratory, paraffin materials from 6
cases of clinically confirmed *Borrelia* lymphocytoma were
archived from January 1975 to December 2005. All six cases
had positive serology for *Borrelia* IgG and characteristic
features of *Borrelia* lymphocytoma with “acral” predilection
were found. All six patients were female (average age = 33
years) from endemic areas of borreliosis in Austria with a rate
of positive serology in the population between 30–60%.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) confirmation for all 6
cases was performed independently in 2 different laboratories
located in Austria and the US. The archived hematoxylin-and-
eosin (H&E)-stained sections were reexamined, and the
previous diagnosis also confirmed. Institutional Review Board
exemption for this study was obtained from the University
of New Haven. The paraffin blocks were sectioned by
McClain Laboratories LLC [Smithtown NY] at 4 μm on
TRUBOND200 adhesive slides. The sections then were
deparaffinized by washing the sections three times in 100%
xylen for 5 min each, followed by rehydration in a series of
graded alcohols (100%, 90%, and 70%) and washed in 1×
phosphate buffered saline (PBS) of pH 7.4 for 5 min. For the
immunohistochemical experiments, the tissues were incubated
in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer for 45 min at 95 °C for
antibody retrieval.

#### DNA Extraction.

DNA extraction from FFPE samples was performed using
the Qiagen Gene Read DNA FFPE Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) according to the manufacturer’s
handbook with some modifications: 4-μm paraffin-embedded
tissue sections were deparaffinized by heating slides for
10 min at 45 °C followed by 3 xylene washes, 5 min each
wash. Tissues were then rehydrated in a series of alcohol
(100%, 100%, 90%, and 70%) washes for 5 min each. Slides
were run under a slow stream of tap water in a container with
70% alcohol for 30 min. Tissue sections were scraped into
1.5-mL tubes using a razor blade. After heating at the 56 °C proteinase
K digestion step was performed for 72 h; the AW1 and AW2
wash steps were performed three times.

#### Polymerase Chain Reaction.

PCR reactions for *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato were performed on all BL biopsy
samples in previous studies by 2 independent laboratories [8, 21], and positive
*Borrelia afzelii* DNAs were found on all 6 samples. To detect the specific
*Chlamydia* spp. in the skin tissue samples, 2 different previously published PCR methods
were used to maximize the probability to amplify *Chlamydia*
spp. [68, 69]. Both PCR protocols were designed to detect the
Outer Membrane Protein A (OmpA) gene, which was proven
to be specific enough to identify the different *Chlamydia*
species [68, 69]. Positive control reactions consisted of
commercially available DNA samples (not live cultures) from
*Chlamydia pneumoniae* strain CM-1 (ATCC® VR-1360™) and
*Chlamydia trachomatis*, both obtained from American
Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Serovar E *Chlamydiaceae*
VR 348BD BOUR strain). As negative controls, reactions with
no template DNA and normal human healthy DNA samples
were used. The first PCR protocol was slightly modified and
included an additional pre-amplification of the OmpA DNA in
the BL tissues in a nested PCR reaction. In the first round,
primers specific to the outer membrane protein A (OmpA)
gene were used: forward 5′-CCGATTGTGTTCTGTTT-3′ and
reverse 5′-CCAAGGAGATTGAAGCCTGT-3′ primer
sequences (Integrated DNA Technologies). In a 25 μL reaction,
1× PCR buffer (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM dNTPs,
0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer. 1.25 U
of DNA polymerase, and 50 ng of DNA template were added.

Reaction conditions were defined by an initial denaturing time
of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C/60 s,
53 °C/15 s, 55.4 °C/15 s, 72 °C/45 s, and a final extension of at
72 °C/5 min. Primers for the nested reaction were as follows:
forward 5′-CTCTTTACAGCTGTGGCTTACGCGG-3′, reverse
5′-GCGATCCCATAATGTGTTTACGGC-3′. [68]. A 50 μL
PCR reaction was prepared by adding 1× Buffer B (Promega, Madison WI).
1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μM
forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, 1.25 U of DNA
polymerase, and 1 μL of a 1:100 dilution of the first reaction
product. Reaction conditions were defined by an initial
denaturing time of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95
°C/60 s, 53 °C/30 s, 72 °C/60 s, and a final extension of at
72 °C/5 min. The 337 bp PCR products were analyzed by
standard agarose gel electrophoresis, and the PCR products
were purified using a QIAquick PCR purification kit
(Qiagen, Germantown, MD) according to the manufacturer’s
instructions. Samples were eluted twice in 30 μL, and the
eluates from each sample were pooled and sequenced in both
directions twice (4× coverage) using the same primers that
generated the products. All DNA sequencing was performed by
Eurofins Genomics (Louisville, KY).

In the second PCR protocol, a different published primer
pair spanning the major outer membrane protein (OmpA)

region of the *Chlamydia* species was used (69). Primers were forward 5′-CCTGTTGGGAATCCCTGCTGAA-3′ and reverse 5′-GTCGAAAACAAAGTCACCATAGTA-3′ flanking a 144 bp region of the gene. For the PCR conditions, a final reaction volume of 50 μL was set with 0.2 mM dNTPs, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA), 0.2 μM of each forward and reverse primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, and 1× Buffer B (Promega, Madison WI). The temperature profile was set for initial denaturation at 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 53 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 2 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products were analyzed by standard agarose gel electrophoresis, and the PCR products were purified using the QiAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and sequenced as described above.

All resulting sequences were first analyzed using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool on the NCBI website (BLAST, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). The sequences were aligned to reference sequences using the CLUSTAL OMEGA multiple sequence alignment tool (EMBL-EBI, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Before proceeding with immunostaining, the deparaffinized slides were rinsed with 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Sigma, St. Louise MO) and distilled water for 2 min each. Slides were pre-incubated with 10% normal goat serum (Thermo Scientific) in PBS–0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma) for 30 min at room temperature (RT) to block the nonspecific binding of the secondary antibody. Slides were then rinsed twice with 1× PBS and distilled water for 2 min each at RT. The slides were then treated with a dilution of 1:200 (dilution buffer: PBS pH 7.4 + 0.5% BSA) of monoclonal antibody for *Chlamydia* spp. (Cat# C65815M, Meridian Life Sciences, USA) and incubated overnight in a humidified chamber at 4 °C. The slides then were washed in 1× PBS and distilled water five times for 2 min each at RT. The tissue sections were then incubated with a 1:200 dilution of the secondary anti-mouse antibody (dilution buffer: PBS pH 7.4 + 0.5% BSA) with a fluorescent red tag (goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L), DyLight 594 conjugated for an hour at RT in a humidified chamber). The excess solution around the tissue was gently wiped, washed five times as mentioned above with 1× PBS, and then, the polyclonal rabbit anti-alginate antibody (generously provided by Dr. Gerald Pier, Harvard Medical School) was diluted in a 1:500 ratio (dilution buffer: PBS pH 7.4 + 0.5% BSA) and added to the slides. The slides were incubated at RT overnight in a humidified chamber. The next day the slides were washed five times with 1× PBS for 2 min each. The tissue sections were then treated with a 1:200 dilution (dilution buffer: PBS pH 7.4 + 0.5% BSA) of the secondary anti-rabbit antibody with a fluorescent blue tag (goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), DyLight 405 conjugated) and incubated for an hour. This step was followed by the abovementioned washes and then treatment with a 1:50 dilution of a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled polyclonal rabbit anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi* antibody (PA-1-73005, Thermo Scientific) for an hour in a humidified chamber at room temperature. The slide sections were then washed and processed as mentioned above and then counterstained with 0.1% Sudan black (Sigma) for 20 min, washed again, and then mounted with PermaFluor (Thermo Scientific). Images were taken and processed using a Leica DM2500 fluorescence microscope at 200× and 400× magnification.

As negative controls, commercially available human newborn foreskin tissue sections and healthy human skin sections (Biomax, HuFPT136) were stained following the same procedure as mentioned above. Additional negative controls such as omitting the primary antibody and the use of non-specific isotype IgG controls (IgG1 Isotype Control, Invitrogen, MA1-10406) were also utilized to confirm the specificity of the antibodies.

**Fluorescent in situ Hybridization (FISH).** The paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized and hydrated in a series of alcohol washes as mentioned above. The tissue sections were then placed in a solution of sodium borohydride for 20 min on ice. Tissues were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, J.T Baker) for 15 min at RT. Next, the sections were washed with 2× saline sodium citrate (SSC) buffer for 5 min and digested with 100 μg/mL of proteinase K (Sigma) at RT for 15 min. After digestion, the sections were then treated with a 1× denaturing solution (70% v/v formamide and 2× SSC) and incubated for 5 min at 95 °C and at RT. The slides were fixed again with 4% PFA for 10 min at RT and washed with 2× SSC before being again placed in denaturing solution at 60 °C for 2 min. The salmon sperm DNA (2.5 ng, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was prewarmed at 95 °C for 5 min and added to the slides for blocking for an hour at 48 °C. The slides were then incubated with previously validated fluorescent in situ DNA probes [21, 70]: *Borrelia*-specific 16S rDNA probe (FAM-5′-GGATATAGTTAGAGATAATTATTCCCCGGTGTTG-3′) and *Chlamydia*-specific 16S rDNA probe (Alexa 568 5′-CCTCCGTATTACCGCAGC-3′) after denaturing the probes at 95 °C for 10 min. A coverslip was placed on the slide to ensure that the tissue did not dry out, and the tissue sections were incubated for 16 h overnight at 48 °C. After overnight incubation, the coverslip was removed by placing the slides in 2× SSC for 5 min, followed by five-time washes of 0.2× SSC buffer for 5 min each at RT in the dark. Sections were then counterstained with 0.1% Sudan black dye (Sigma) for 20 min in the dark at RT. The slides were washed five times with 2× SSC for 5 min before mounting the slides with PermaFluor mounting media (Thermo Scientific) and stored at 4 °C. Images were taken using a Leica DM2500 fluorescence microscope at 200× and 400× magnification.

All FISH steps were repeated with several negative controls such as the following: 1) 100 ng random oligonucleotide, (5′-FAM-CAATGTCTATTAGCTCTTACTATCATTACATCCATCGTAG-3′), 2) 200 ng of unlabeled competing oligonucleotide added before the hybridization step [competing *Borrelia* (5′-CAACCGGGGAATAATTATATCCTCCTATACATCCATCGTAG-3′), and 3) a DNase treatment of the sections before the hybridization step to digest all genomic DNA (100 μg/mL for 60 min at 37 °C). A combination of immuno and in situ protocols was performed in a similar fashion as described earlier [21]. Briefly, after the 0.2× SSC wash in the FISH protocol the sections were blocked with a 1:200 dilution of goat serum (Thermo Scientific) for an hour at RT in a humidified chamber. The slides were washed five times with PBS followed by adding the primary polyclonal anti-alginate antibody for overnight incubation at RT. The next day the slides were tagged with a 1:200 dilution of the secondary anti-rabbit antibody with a fluorescent blue tag (goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), DyLight 405 conjugated) and incubated for an hour at RT. This step was then followed by a counterstaining step with 0.1% Sudan black for 20 min, followed by several washes in 0.2× SSC and mounting with PermaFluor mounting medium (Thermo Scientific) and storing at 4 °C. Images were taken using Leica DM2500 fluorescence microscope at 200× and 400× magnification.

**Confocal Microscopy.** The tissue sections were visualized and scanned with a confocal scanning laser microscope (Leica
DMI6000) for generating z-axis stacks for visualization of the dual species biofilm in a three-dimensional view. ImageJ software was used to process the generated z stacks in order to receive a detailed analysis of the spatial distribution of the multi-species biofilms (Plugins: Interactive 3D Surface Plot and Volume Viewer).

Ethics. The study used archived paraffin embedded sections which was sent to University of New Haven without any identification. The Institutional Review Board at the University of New Haven approved the study under 45 CFR 46.101(b)(4): Research involving the collection or study of existing data, documents, records, pathological specimens, or diagnostic specimens, if these sources are publicly available or if the information is recorded by the investigator in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects.

Results

PCR Analyses of Borrelia-Positive BL Skin Tissues for Chlamydia spp.. The first aim of the study was to evaluate the potential presence of Chlamydia spp. in the Borrelia-positive biopsy tissues of BL patients. We used archived skin biopsies from our previous studies, in which we proved that the BL tissues are positive for Borrelia afzelii DNA using PCR methods performed by two independent research laboratories previously [8, 21]. To amplify Chlamydia spp. DNA, several previously published PCR protocols were utilized which were designed to amplify the major outer membrane protein A (OmpA) gene and were able to identify the species [68, 69]. The Chlamydia OmpA-specific PCR protocols resulted in positive bands in the BL tissues studied. Interestingly, when the DNAs were sequenced and analyzed by Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST, bioinformatics tool on NCBI website), the results revealed that multiple Chlamydia species were present in the Borrelia-infected BL skin tissues. In some of the BL tissues (4 out 6 samples), we were able to identify a common sequence with 99% identity to C. pneumoniae (KC512913; 98% coverage with E value: 7e-151), 86% identity to C. psittaci (KM247620; in 64% coverage with E value: 1e-61), and 76% to C. trachomatis (EU040365, in 71% of coverage and E value: 1e-40). The sequences were further analyzed by Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool on the EMBL-EBI server (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). Figure 1A shows a representative multiple sequence alignment of the BL Chlamydia OmpA sequence to the pathogenic Chlamydia sequences.

Using another published OmpA PCR protocol [69], we amplified a significantly different common sequence in some of the BL tissue samples (2 out 6 samples), showing 97% identity to C. trachomatis (JX559522; 93% coverage with E value 9e-50), 81% to C. psittaci (HM214490, in 67% coverage). Figure 1B shows a multiple sequence alignment of the BL Chlamydia OmpA DNA sequence mapped against different Chlamydia strains of Chlamydia psittaci (KM247620), Chlamydia trachomatis (EU040365), and Chlamydia pneumoniae (KC512913).

Figure 1. (A) A multiple sequence alignment obtained from Clustal Omega analyses representing BL Chlamydia OmpA DNA sequence mapped against different Chlamydia strains of Chlamydia psittaci (KM247620), Chlamydia trachomatis (EU040365), and Chlamydia pneumoniae (KC512913). (B) Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment of OmpA gene DNA sequences obtained from BL tissues against different Chlamydia strains such as Chlamydia trachomatis (JX559522), Chlamydia psittaci (HM214490), and Chlamydia pneumoniae (DQ358972). Asterisks represent identical nucleotide sequence in all four Chlamydia sequences.
and E value 2e-19), and 79% identity to C. pneumoniae (DQ358972; in 80% coverage with E value 2e-20).

Figure 1B shows a multiple sequence alignment obtained from Clustal Omega EMBI/EBI server representing DNA sequences from BL tissue OmpA DNA samples mapped against 3 strains of Chlamydia trachomatis (JX559522), Chlamydia psittaci (HM214490), and Chlamydia pneumoniae (DQ358972).

**Immunohistochemical (IHC) Staining of Human Biopsy Skin Tissues for Borrelia and Chlamydia.** To further prove the presence of Chlamydia species and to determine whether there is a potential co-existence of the previously identified Borrelia biofilms found in these BL biopsy tissues [21], IHC staining techniques were used which were specific for **Borrelia, Chlamydia**, and alginate (biofilm marker) antigens.

Figure 2 shows that positive immunostaining for **Borrelia** (Figure 2, panels A, F, and K, green arrows,) and for the biofilm-specific marker alginate (Figure 2, panels C, H, and M, blue arrows) is present in all 6 BL biopsy tissues. For some tissue sections, the **Borrelia**–alginate positive aggregates also showed positive co-staining for Chlamydia spp. (Figure 2, Panels B and G, red arrows); however, some of the tissue sections only stained positive for **Borrelia** and alginate (Figure 2, panels K and M) but not for Chlamydia (Figure 2, panel L). Crucially, there was no immunostaining for Chlamydia spp. in the biofilm-free regions of tissues; however, **Borrelia** spirochetes were found frequently in the vicinity of the biofilm structures (Figure 2, panels A, F, and K green arrowheads). As reported previously [21, 22], those spirochetes were seen in all BL skin tissue samples (Figure 3, panels B and F, red arrows). However, not all of those biofilm structures were positive for Chlamydia spp. (Figure 3, panels C, G, K, O, and T, blue arrows). Most **Borrelia** and alginate positive structures stained positive for Chlamydia (Figure 3, panels B and F, red arrows). However, not all of those biofilm structures were positive for Chlamydia which further shows the specificity of our IHC protocol, (Figure 3, panels J, N, and S). The differential interference images depict the tissue morphology and the structure of the biofilm (Figure 3, panels D, H, L, P, and V).

**Figure 2.** Representative IHC images of Borrelia, Chlamydia, and alginate staining in Borrelia-infected BL skin tissues. Panels A, F, K, P, and V show IHC staining results of skin tissues using a FITC labeled anti-Borrelia antibody (green arrows and arrowheads). Panels B, G, L, Q, and W show staining results with anti-chlamydia antibody (red arrows). Panels C, H, M, R, and X show staining of anti-alginate antibody (blue arrows). Panels D, I, N, S, and Y show results of staining with non-specific IgG antibody. Panels E, J, O, T, and Z are the differential interference contrast (DIC) images that show the morphology of the tissues. Panels A–O corresponds to BL skin tissues while panels P–T include negative controls corresponding to skin tissues from healthy human foreskin, and panels V–Z include negative controls corresponding to healthy skin tissues. All images were taken at 200× magnification. Scale bar: 200 μm.
Quantitative analysis of a total of 150 IHC stained slides was carried out to categorize the size and frequency of the co-localization of *Borrelia* biofilms with *Chlamydia* spp. in BL skin tissues by direct counting of the positive structures. Each slide contained 2–4 biofilms and each biofilm size varied from a range of 20–80 μm.

Approximately 84% of *Borrelia* positive biofilms were positive for co-existence with *Chlamydia* spp. (Figure 4).

**FISH Staining of Human Biopsy Skin Tissues for Borrelia and Chlamydia.** To further confirm the results obtained by IHC staining, fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) methods were utilized. FISH probes specific for 16S rDNA of *Borrelia* and *Chlamydia* were chosen from previously validated studies [21, 70]. For each slide containing structures, IHC-positive for *Borrelia* (green staining, Figure 5, panel A) that co-stained with *Chlamydia* spp. antibody (red staining, Figure 5, panel B) and with the biofilm marker alginate (blue staining, Figure 5, panel C), the next consecutive slide was stained using a combined IHC and FISH technique. The *Borrelia*-species-specific 16S rDNA probe (green staining, Figure 5, Panel E) was co-localized with the *Chlamydia*-DNA-specific 16S rDNA probe (red staining, Figure 5, panel F). The *Borrelia/Chlamydia*-positive structures also stained positive for IHC staining using anti-alginate antibody which confirmed the co-localization of *Borrelia* biofilms with *Chlamydia* spp. in the BL skin tissues. Several negative controls were included in the study to confirm the specificity of the chosen FISH probes with our target organisms. Competing oligonucleotide probes showed no significant staining for both *Borrelia* (Figure 5, panel I) and *Chlamydia* (Figure 5, panel J). As additional negative controls, a random DNA probe (Figure 5, panel K) and a DNAse I pre-treated sample (Figure 5, panel L) were used which resulted in no significant staining. The tissue morphology was visualized using the DIC images, which show how the biofilm is embedded in the tissue (Figure 5, panels D and H).

**Confocal Imaging of Borrelia and Chlamydia Positive Tissues.** A tissue section that was IHC positive for co-existence of *Borrelia* and *Chlamydia* and for the biofilm marker alginate (Figure 6, panels A, B, and C) were scanned with a confocal scanning laser microscope (Leica DMI6000) to further analyze the structure of the biofilm in the BL skin tissues.

---

**Figure 3.** Representative images of IHC staining of BL biopsy skin tissues with *Borrelia*, *Chlamydia*, and alginate-specific antibodies. Panels A, E, I, M, and R show IHC positive staining for *Borrelia* (green arrows), and panels B and F show positive staining of *Chlamydia* (red arrows), while panels J, N, and S show negative staining for *Chlamydia* spp. Panel C, G, K, O, and T depict positive staining for alginate (blue arrows). Panel D, H, L, P, and V show DIC images. All images were taken at 400× magnification. Scale Bar: 200 μm

**Figure 4.** Quantitative analysis of *Borrelia* biofilms for positive *Borrelia* and *Chlamydia* IHC staining
in a three-dimensional view. The obtained image shows the spatial distribution and the integrity of the biofilm along with the individual Z stacks further providing evidence for *Borrelia* and *Chlamydia* co-existence in the *Borrelia* /alginate positive structure (Figure 6, panel E, F, and G). The individual Z stacks show aggregates of *Chlamydia* enclosed within the center of *Borrelia* biofilm (Figure 6, panel F, red arrows). The individual Z stacks of *Borrelia* and alginate show how alginate, a component of the EPS layer, surrounds the *Borrelia* biofilm (Figure 6, panel G, blue arrow).

**Discussion**

Previous studies have shown that *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto and the sensu lato group are capable of forming biofilms in vitro [19, 20]. Recently, we also provided in vivo evidence for the presence of *Borrelia burgdorferi* biofilms in
Borrelia-infected skin lesions called Borrelia lymphocytoma (BL) [21]. However, the question of co-existence of Borrelia biofilms in the multi-species form is yet to be answered. This study investigated the presence of potential co-infections of Borrelia biofilms with Chlamydia spp. It is among the first to document the co-existence of Borrelia biofilms with the intra-cellular pathogen Chlamydia spp. in infected human skin tissues, and to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to show Chlamydia within the biofilm.

Our PCR and sequencing analyses showed that Borrelia positive BL tissue samples are also positive for Chlamydia DNA, and the obtained sequencing was mapped to several chlamydial strains and was found to have the best match to two human pathogens, Chlamydia pneumoniae and Chlamydia trachomatis strains. Studies conducted in Finland and Australia reported Chlamydia-like DNA in skin biopsies of patients suspected to have a tick bite and who were PCR-positive for Borrelia DNA as well [50–52]. A very recent study provided evidence that IgM and IgG antibodies for both Chlamydia pneumoniae and Chlamydia trachomatis can be detected in 20–30% of patients with tick bite history [71]. Those studies strongly indicated that co-infection of Borrelia with Chlamydia spp. is possible.

After finding chlamydial DNA in BL skin biopsies, the question became whether they exist in biofilm form. To examine BL skin lesions for co-existence of Borrelia and Chlamydia in biofilm form, IHC staining and FISH techniques were used.

As previously reported, alginate is successfully being adapted as a biofilm marker and was used to confirm the co-existence of both bacterial species in the biofilm form [19]. Alginate has been reported to be a key component of the EPS layer in Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto and sensu lato biofilms [19–21]. Although no direct evidence suggests the existence of Chlamydia individually in a biofilm form, they could be a part of a microbial community with other bacterial biofilms. Biofilm forming bacteria can promote the participation of strains of non-biofilm forming bacteria in a community as is observed in dental plaques with Actinomyces spp. [72].

In fact, our IHC and FISH data suggest that Chlamydia spp. can exist in aggregate forms as suggested on other systems [60, 66]. Furthermore, environmental stressors are known to push Chlamydia into a state of persistence, in which they are viable but non-infectious [73]. Persistent like morphological characteristics of Chlamydia have been identified in vivo [74] and several studies have shown resistance of chlamydial infection to antibiotics both in vitro and in vivo [75].

Our confocal microscopy data suggest a very specific spatial distribution of Chlamydia in the Borrelia biofilm. Previous studies suggested that different bacteria in multi-species biofilms could have specific spatial distribution which supports our confocal image findings showing that Chlamydia is localized in the middle of Borrelia biofilm rather than randomly distributed [42, 77, 78]. Our confocal analyses also demonstrated that the Borrelia/Chlamydia-positive biofilm structure is surrounded by alginate. The observation raises the question about which organism secretes alginate rich protective matrix. While studies show that Chlamydia-infected cell cultures express a glycolipid that is similar to alginate in its polysaccharide content and molecular weight [76], our data suggest that the alginate being expressed is probably secreted by Borrelia burgdorferi and not by Chlamydia because all Chlamydia negative biofilms are positive for alginate.

Ticks are capable of inoculating and harvesting several different pathogens upon infection to the host organism. A study conducted in Switzerland and Algeria evaluated ticks and fleas for the presence of Chlamydiades DNA and found ticks to be a possible vector for transmission of Chlamydia spp. [50]. The same group in 2015 then reported a higher prevalence and diversity of Chlamydiades DNA in ticks [51]. Another study supported and confirmed the presence of Chlamydia-related organism in ticks [52], and they also found sequences similar to Chlamydia DNA in human skin biopsies. The study screened skin biopsies of patients with suspected history of tick bite and reported Chlamydia DNA in 85% Borrelia PCR positive biopsies and 71% positive for Chlamydia DNA in Borrelia PCR negative skin biopsies [52].

Mono-species biofilms alone have proven to be 100 to 1000 times more resistant to antibiotics, leading to persistent infections [79]. Our research group has demonstrated the extraordinary resistance of Borrelia biofilms to several antibiotics in vitro [22]. Borrelia may explain the persisting symptoms observed in Lyme patients. Multi-species biofilms are being studied extensively in relation to several chronic infections. Chronic wound infections in a porcine model showed increased resistance to antimicrobial activity upon infection with Staphylococcus aureus in a multi-species biofilm form [39, 81, 82]. Pulmonary infections in cysitic fibrosis patients have been suggested to contain several different airway pathogens making them more complex and resistant to treatments [40]. Studies have identified Dolosigranulum pigrum and Pseudomonas aeruginosa in biofilm form in pulmonary infections and have shown increased resistance to antimicrobial treatments [40]. Diabetic foot ulcers show polymicrobial infection involving S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli at the site of infection, slowing the healing process and, in some cases, leading to antimicrobial resistance [83]. These findings strongly suggest that microbial communities behave synergistically with each other in a mixed biofilm form.

The symptoms observed during Lyme infection are very similar to those of chlamydial infection [62, 63]. Arthritis is one of the major symptoms observed in both of these bacterial infections, and a study suggested the intra-articular co-infection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Borrelia burgdorferi in patients with oligoarthritis [63]. Furthermore, Chlamydia and Borrelia DNAs were found in the synovial fluid of patients with undifferentiated oligoarthritis [84].

Another example for skin infections, which can be caused by Borrelia or Chlamydia, is erythema nodosum, a condition leading to skin inflammation with painful, red deep-seated nodules [62, 85]. The skin condition erythema multiforme has been also associated with Borrelia and C. pneumoniae infections [86, 87].

Furthermore, C. pneumoniae infections have been linked to atherosclerosis and well characterized in atherosclerotic plaques [58]. Lyme carditis is one of the chronic infections of Lyme disease, and an independent study reported seropositivity results for anti-Borrelia IgG antibodies in carotid atherosclerosis [88]. In addition, a recent study observed biofilm formation in atherosclerotic plaques, which indeed suggests that biofilms could be present in cardiac tissues and be a part of the biofilm community with several other species [89, 90].

The obvious question is whether multi-species biofilms could have even higher antibiotic resistance for antibiotics than mono-species biofilm. In a synergistic relationship, both biofilm partners should provide advantage for the whole community [42]. The obvious question is why Borrelia and Chlamydia can be found together so frequently and how they can build symbiotic relationships. Chlamydia, for example, cannot produce the ATP molecule for its energetic processes [58–61]. Therefore, it is possible that Borrelia must provide ATP inside the biofilm structure. Furthermore, Borrelia biofilm is known to have a very organized structure that confers high resistance to environmental stressors [19, 20, 21]; therefore, Borrelia could also provide the necessary shelter for Chlamydia.
Conversely, *Chlamydia* could supply iron necessary for *Borreli*a. Several studies have reported that *Borreli*a uses manganese instead of iron for its own biological processes [91, 92]. Yet, iron appears to play a crucial role in biofilm formation by stabilizing the polysaccharide matrix, as was shown in *S. aureus* [93–95]. Moreover, in a multi-species biofilm of *Candida albicans* and *P. aeruginosa*, iron triggers virulence of the bacterial pathogens and can cause significant damage to the host [96]. Relating the role of *Chlamydia* in the biofilm form with *Borreli*a could suggest that they have a symbiotic relation.

In summary, our data provides strong evidence for the co-existence of *Chlamydia* spp. with *Borreli*a biofilms in human skin biopsies of BL lesions with their involvement in *Borreli*a biofilms. This study warrants further research to understand the physiological role of mixed biofilms in chronic Lyme disease.
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